UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,015	10/26/2001	Patrick R. Clark	01-330	4131	
719 <b>Caterpillar Inc.</b>	7590 04/09/200	8	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop AB 6490		AKINTOLA, OLABODE			
AB 6490 100 N.E. Adams Street PEORIA, IL 61629-6490			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/016,015	CLARK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	OLABODE AKINTOLA	3691
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 100 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 20-27, 29, 31-37, 39 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sinclair (USPN 6208979).

Re claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 20-27, 29, 31-37, 39 and 41-42: Sinclair teaches a computer based system and method for automatically creating a list of financing documents for a transaction, the method including the steps of: inserting transaction information by a user (col. 6, lines 60-65); requesting a decision criteria document (col. 7, lines 31-42, col. 10, lines 30-37); requesting initial information in response to the request for a decision criteria document (col. 7, lines 34-36); determining the initial information from the transaction information (col. 7, lines 44-57); preparing the decision criteria document, the decision criteria document having a plurality of data fields as a function of the initial information (col. 7, lines 31-57, col. 10, lines 30-37); receiving completed data fields (col. 7, lines 30-33); and, automatically selecting a required set

Art Unit: 3691

of documents based on the completed data fields and preparing the list of financing documents (col. 9, lines 5-9).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9, 19, 30 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinclair.

Re claims 9: Sinclair does not explicitly teach wherein each document in the list of financing documents includes a document name, a number of copies, and a simplex/duplex code. However, Sinclair teaches generating necessary documents (col. 9, lines 5-6). Official notice is hereby taken that it is old and well known to have in a list of necessary document, the document name, number of copies and codes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to modify Sinclair to include the document name, number of copies and codes as part of the requirement of tracking purposes.

Page 4

Claims 7, 17, 28 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinclair in view of Fletcher et al (USPN 6112190).

Re claims 7, 17, 28 and 38: Sinclair does not explicitly teach the step of allowing a user to override the required set of documents. Fletcher teaches the step of allowing a user to override the required set of documents (col. 11, lines 34-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sinclair to include this step as taught by Fletcher. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the user to make changes as may be deemed necessary by overriding the document.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLABODE AKINTOLA whose telephone number is (571)272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,015 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

/Hani M. Kazimi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691